Individual- and Agent-Based Models: Introduction, Tradeoffs & Tools

Nathaniel Osgood

January 11, 2011

Complementary Model Types

- Static Models
 - Models help us understand connections between system components, but don't explicitly represent time
 - Aid reasoning about structure of system
- Dynamic models
 - Aid in understanding dynamic implications (consequences over time) of system structure & choices

Social Network Analysis

- Understanding structural relationship between parties
- Understanding how network position influences patterns of health
- Identifying highly influential or critical parties
- An important enabler for and "synergizer" with dynamic modeling
- (Dynamic extensions are possible)

Dynamic Models

- Simulation models represent hypothesized *causal relationships* between diverse factors
- Models provide a provide a way to examine diverse consequences of changes in one area of the system to the whole system
- Models help us and system actors to understand
 - System vulnerabilities, leverage points
 - Ways of fruitfully changing system structure
 - Improved ways of working together

Analogy: Other Simulators to Improve Performance & Lower Risk

- Pilot decision making: Flight simulators
- Climate policy: Climate simulators
- Process & power plants: Plant simulators
- Driver training: Vehicular simulators
- Street design & traffic flow regulation: Traffic simulators
- Construction coordination: Construction process simulators

Regularities Arise from Underlying Processes

- The time series shown are tightly interrelated, not independent
- Many of the features of the time series are driven by the same underlying processes
 - Natural history of infection
 - Demographic change of the population
 - Mechanisms of infection transmission
 - Risk behaviour & risk perception
 - Health system response
- Simulation seeks insight from characterizing *causal structure* of those processes

Understanding Intervention Impact⇒ Seek to Understand *Causal* Relationships

- Progression of infection
- Immunity
- Response to treatment
- Mixing patterns (e.g. between communities)
- Intergenerational/social network mediated effects

 Role modelling & Behavior change emulation
- Diversity in contact rates
- Strain interaction
- Diversity in symptoms

Simulation Models: Some Uses

- Make explicit mental models of causality, for discussion and collective refinement
- Assist in management of complex situations
 - Serve as "What if" tool for identifying desirable policies
 - Understand trends & help make sense of interaction of diverse information, processes
 - Prioritizing research/data collection & identifying inconsistencies
 - Understanding commonalities between contexts, infection spread
- Communication (e.g. "learning labs")

Simulation Models as Dynamic Hypotheses

- Explaining drivers for trends or anticipating intervention impact requires understanding processes underlying observables
- A model represents a hypothesis regarding the possible causal interaction of diverse factors often studied in isolation
 - Operationally captures a hypothesis for "how the system works" at certain level of description
- Model parameters: Detailed assumptions for particular epidemiological contexts



Scenarios for Understanding How Does X affect System



Policy Formulation & Evaluation



Model Can Be Used to Investigate Scenarios



Examples of Dynamic Modeling Approaches

- System Dynamics Modeling
 - Feedback-centric modeling approach
 - Focuses on feedbacks & accumulations
 - Spans qualitative & quantitative methods
 - Supports rich mathematical analysis
 - Interactive model runs

- Agent-Based Modeling
 - Captures interactions
 between individuals within populations
 - Captures individual histories
 & trajectories
 - Gracefully represents network connections
 - Easier capturing of heterogeneity
 - Detailed policy planning
- Discrete Event Simulation

Simulates flow of individuals through processes Captures resource use

Dynamic Models for Health

- Classic: Aggregate Models
 - Differential equations
 - Population classified into 2 or more state variables according to attributes
 - |State Variables|, |Parameters| << |Population|</p>
- Recent: Individual-Based Models
 - Governing equations approach varies
 - Each individual evolves
 - |State Variables|, |Parameters | \propto |Population|

Contrasting Model Granularity





Key Needs Motivating Individual-Based Modeling

- Need to calibrate against information on agent history
- Need to capture progression of agents along multiple pathways (e.g. co-morbidities)
- Wish to characterize **learning by and/or memory** of agents based on experience, or **strong history dependence** in agents
- Need to capture distinct **localized perception** among agents
- Seeking to intervene at points in, change behavior on, explain phenomena over or explain dynamics across networks
- Seek distinct interventions for many heterogenous categories
- Need to capture impact of intervention across many categories
- When it is much simpler to describe behavior at indiv. level
- Seek flexibility in exploring different heterogeneity dimensions
- Needs of stakeholders to engage with individual-based models
- Want to describe behaviour at **multiple scales**

Agent-Based Modeling

- We can capture individuals in many ways
- I view Agent based models (ABM) as a type of individual-based modeling that encapsulates a given individual as a *software object* with
 - Methods
 - Properties
- Objects provide a convenient abstraction for individuals
- Agent-based models currently require writing at least some code in programming languages
- We can formulate SD models w/i agent-based tools
 I view such models as simultaneously SD & ABM
- We can follow an SD process to build & use agentbased models

Agent-Based Systems

• Agent-based model characteristics

One or more populations composed of individual agents

- Each agent is associated with some of the following
 - State (continuous or discrete e.g. age, health, smoking status, networks, beliefs)
 - Parameters (e.g. Gender, genetic composition, preference fn.)
 - Rules for interaction (traditionally specified in general purpose programming language)
- Embedded in an environment (typically with localized perception)
- Communicate via messaging and/or flows
- Environment
- Emergent aggregate behavior

Elements of Individual State

- Example Discrete
 - Ethnicity
 - Gender
 - Categorical infection status
- Continuous
 - Age
 - Elements of body composition
 - Metabolic rate
 - Past exposure to environmental factors
 - Glycemic Level

Example of Continuous Individual State



Example of Discrete States Binary Presence in Discrete State



Parallel State Transition Diagrams





Network Embedded Individuals



Irregular Spatial Embedding



Example of Emergence: Waves in Regular Spatial Embedding

Susceptible

Recovered





Dynamic Complexity: Oscillations (Damped & Otherwise)



Fig. 6.8. Weekly case notifications of pertussis (whooping cough) in England and Wales for the time period 1948-82. Mass vaccination was introduced in 1956.

Emergence

- Interaction of very simple components can lead to surprising "emergent" dynamic patterns in the behaviour of a given component over time
- The patterns that are seen are quite different than what would be expected through any single component of the system
- These often relate to variables in the underlying system in complex ways

Observation & Change

- What we observe most directly in the world are emergent properties of the system
- Changes to these emergent patterns must be accomplished by changing the underlying system
 - The emergent behaviours can change significantly with changes in model structure¶meter values
- Models help with understanding how
 - The emergent patterns reflect the characteristics of the underlying system
 - Changes in the underlying causal factors yield changes in the results

Emergent Behaviour & Modeling Types

- We see emergent behaviour in both System Dynamics and Agent-Based models
 - Agent-based models: Especially in interaction of multiple agents & higher level patterns of behaviour
 - System Dynamics: Especially in interaction of multiple stocks
 & flows
- Agent-based modeling particularly emphasizes multilevel emergence – how distinctive patterns can emerge at different levels of the system
 - Ability to look at high-level emergence reflects the presence of many individual agents within one model
- The emergent behaviours can change significantly with changes in model structure¶meter values

Feedbacks

 Some aggregate feedbacks lie within individual agent



Johnny Smoking

Feedbacks

Many aggregate feedbacks are *between*

Limitations of Agent-Based Methods

- Inability to mathematically analyze & generalize behaviour beyond a single run
- Long ensemble run times ⇒ lack of interactivity, opportunity cost
- Calibrating yields no unique interpretation
- Requirement of some software engineering in building, modifying
- Achieving model transparency is difficulty
- Lack of defined modeling process or qualitative modeling artifacts

Hands on Model Use Ahead: First Glimpse of Agent-Based Modeling

Load model: SIR Agent-Based from AnyLogic Sample Models (via "Help" menu)

Viewing the Model Structure

Double click on "Person" to see the associated state transition diagram

Run the Model (via the "Run" button)

💈 AnyLogic Advanced [EDUCATIONAL USE ONLY]			
<u>File Edit View Model Window Heip</u>			
🚳 – 😂 🔚 🔍 🖉 🏷 🐇 🗎 🛣 💢	 < 100% → << # 芯 ∿ □ □ □ <		
🔋 Projec Recent Experiment 🗧	🗖 🐻 Person 🕱	🗆 🙀 Palette 🛛 🗖 🗖 👘	
▲ 🚳 S 🖸 SIR Agent Based / Simulation		Model III	
	Color Susceptible Infection Contact Recovery Recovered	 Parameter Flow Aux Variable Stock Variable Event Dynamic Event Plain Variable Collection Variable Function Table Function Port Connector Entry Point State 	
🔝 Problems 🛛 🏦 🏹 🔽		Transition	
Description Location		Initial State Pointer Branch History State	
	4	Final State	
	Properties X Console Person - Active Object Class	Environment	
	General Name: Percon	Action	
	Advanced	📲 Analysis	
	Agent Generic	Presentation	
	Parameters Startup Code:		
	Description	😚 Enterprise Library	
•	Destroy Code:	More Libraries	

Press this button to start model execution

Example of Emergent Behaviour

👷 SIR Agent Based : Simulation - AnyLogic Advanced [EDUCATIONAL USE ONLY]		
🕨 🕨 🔲 🧣 🐽 💌 🐨 🚱 🗠 🧮 AnyLogic.	Get Support	
	or support	
 Susceptible: 236,782 Infectious: 6,167 Recovered: 7,051 		🙀 Palette 🛛 🗖 🛄
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Model :::
	statechart	C Parameter
	ple	 Flow Aux Variable
	feation	Stock Variable
		🗲 Event
	s	6 Dynamic Event
	act 👝	Plain Variable Collection Variable
	ecovery	Function
		😭 Table Function
		Port
		Connector
		Entry Point
and the second		
		Initial State Pointer
		S Branch
		(H) History State
	4	Final State
	■ × ¾ 🖡 🔐 🖉 🖉 ▼ 🗂 ▼ 🗆	Environment
	es (x86)\AnyLogic 6\jre\bin\javaw.exe (Jul 11, 2010 6:05:05 PM)	
	í í	Action
		📲 Analysis
Run: 0 🛇 Running Step: 687,896 [14,322] 🖸 🔃 EPS: 247,415 FPS: 1.0 Memory: 🏭 of 2970 前		Presentation
	-	🎐 Connectivity
		🐨 Enterprise Library
		See More Libraries
Select	ion	

Make Sure Model Time is Visible

Select "Model Time" here (so a check mark appears) (If a checkmark is already present, just click back on the

The Updated Window Should Include a Model Time Output

Stylized Measurement 1

• How Long Does it Take for The Infection to Reach the Top or Left Boundaries?

• We'll compare this to the situation with other parameter assumptions

Press this button to stop model execution

Click to add not or

AnyLogic Advanced [EDUCATIONAL USE ONLY]

Your Screen Should Look as Follows

0 items selected

ManyLogic Advanced [EDUCATIONAL USE ONLY]

Changing the Name of the Experiment

Run the Model (via the "Run" button)

You Should See Something Like This

Adding a Transition

Connecting the Two States 🔁 AnyLogic Advanced [EDUCATIONAL USE ONLY]

Selection

Give the Transition a Name

AnyLogic Advanced [EDUCATIONAL USE ONLY] File Edit View Model Window Help 🞯 🕶 🗃 💼 🛛 🤣 💖 🖌 🗈 🍙 🗶 💢 🔩 100% 🗟 🖓 拱 拱村 🛽 马 马 马 🤫 💥 Get Support 🗟 🛍 🔘 🗸 🔗 - -🎦 Project 🖾 🔗 Search 👩 Person 🛛 🗟 Simulation 🙀 Palette 🖾 👸 Main æ SIR Agent Based* 🐤 Model statechart Main Parameter Parameters color 0 Susceptible AverageIllnessDuration: 15 Flow Aux Variable ContactRate: 1 Stock Variable Infection InfectionProbability: 0.5 Ę. Event TotalPopulation: 250000 🍪 Dynamic Event No. Plain Variables Infectious 🕐 🛛 Plain Variable Environments Contact 🔎 6 Embedded Objects Collection Variable Presentation Function Recovery Person Table Function 🖸 Simulation: Main Port 😢 SlowRecovery: Main Recovered Connector Entry Point State S Transition 🚼 Problems 🖾 Type the name ("waningImmunity" Initial State Pointer Description Location S Branch here (H) History State Final State 111 🚺 Environment 🔲 Properties 🔀 📮 Contole transition - Transition Press Ctrl+Enter to perform refactoring (replace name occurences) Action General Show Name 📃 Ignore 📃 Public 📝 Show At Runtime Name: waningImmunity Description 📔 Analysis Triggered by: Timeout $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ Ξ Presentation 1 Timeout: 🦩 Connectivity 🐨 Enterprise Library Action: More Libraries... 111 111

Setting the Duration Until Immunity Wanes

Use the Run Button and select the Original Experiment

After Starting the Model, You Should See Something Like This. What Happens as Time Progresses?

What Happens as Time Progresses?

Use the Run Button & select the "SlowRecovery" Experiment

Slow Recovery Results

😼 SIR Agent Based : Simulation - AnyLogic Advanced [EDUCATIONAL USE ONLY]	
🕨 🕨 🔳 💁 🔍 x1 🐨 😱 D 💥 AnyLogic.	Get Support
 Susceptible: 141,747 Infectious: 75,763 Recovered: 32,490 	Palette 🛙
	Model Parameter Flow Aux Variable Stock Variable Stock Variable Stock Variable Stock Variable Plain Variable Collection Variable Collection Variable Collection Variable Collection Variable Collection Variable Collection Variable Port Port Port Port State Transition Initial State Pointer Branch History State Final State
	Action
Run: 0 🛇 Running Step: 22,318,337 [184,902] 🕑 📐 EPS: 155,601 FPS: 1.0 Memory: 🏧 🐽 2970	Presentation
	Connectivity
	😚 Enterprise Library
	More Librarie

As Time Progresses, Little Internal Structure – Whv?

Stylized Measurement 2

- How Long Does it Take for The Infection to Reach the Top or Left Boundaries?
- How does this compare with the earlier experiment with a shorter duration of immunity?
- Bonus question: What would an aggregate (random mixing) model have predicted?

Project Possibilities

- Gonorrhea
- CWD
- TB
- Diabetes & ESRD
- HPV & Smoking?
- MRSA
- Smoking